List Coloring Cartesian Products of Graphs: Criticality and List Color Function

Hemanshu Kaul

Illinois Institute of Technology

www.math.iit.edu/~kaul

kaul@iit.edu

Joint work with Jeffrey Mudrock (College of Lake County)

List Coloring

- List coloring was introduced independently by Vizing (1976) and Erdős, Rubin, and Taylor (1979), as a generalization of usual graph coloring.
- For graph G suppose each v ∈ V(G) is assigned a list, L(v), of colors. We refer to L as a list assignment. An acceptable L-coloring for G is a proper coloring, f, of G such that f(v) ∈ L(v) for all v ∈ V(G).
- When an acceptable *L*-coloring for *G* exists, we say that *G* is *L*-colorable or *L*-choosable.

List Coloring

- List coloring was introduced independently by Vizing (1976) and Erdős, Rubin, and Taylor (1979), as a generalization of usual graph coloring.
- For graph G suppose each v ∈ V(G) is assigned a list, L(v), of colors. We refer to L as a list assignment. An acceptable L-coloring for G is a proper coloring, f, of G such that f(v) ∈ L(v) for all v ∈ V(G).
- When an acceptable *L*-coloring for *G* exists, we say that *G* is *L*-colorable or *L*-choosable.

List Coloring

- List coloring was introduced independently by Vizing (1976) and Erdős, Rubin, and Taylor (1979), as a generalization of usual graph coloring.
- For graph G suppose each v ∈ V(G) is assigned a list, L(v), of colors. We refer to L as a list assignment. An acceptable L-coloring for G is a proper coloring, f, of G such that f(v) ∈ L(v) for all v ∈ V(G).
- When an acceptable *L*-coloring for *G* exists, we say that *G* is *L*-colorable or *L*-choosable.

List Chromatic Number

- The list chromatic number of a graph G, written *χ*_ℓ(G), is the smallest k such that G is L-colorable whenever |L(v)| ≥ k for each v ∈ V(G).
- When χ_ℓ(G) = k we say that G has list chromatic number k or that G is k-choosable.
- We immediately have that if χ(G) is the typical chromatic number of a graph G, then

 $\chi(G) \leq \chi_{\ell}(G).$

But we know the gap between $\chi(G)$ and $\chi_{\ell}(G)$ can be arbitrarily large

List Chromatic Number

- The list chromatic number of a graph G, written *χ*_ℓ(G), is the smallest k such that G is L-colorable whenever |L(v)| ≥ k for each v ∈ V(G).
- When χ_ℓ(G) = k we say that G has list chromatic number k or that G is k-choosable.
- We immediately have that if χ(G) is the typical chromatic number of a graph G, then

 $\chi(\mathbf{G}) \leq \chi_{\ell}(\mathbf{G}).$

But we know the gap between $\chi(G)$ and $\chi_{\ell}(G)$ can be arbitrarily large

List Chromatic Number

- The list chromatic number of a graph G, written *χ*_ℓ(G), is the smallest k such that G is L-colorable whenever |L(v)| ≥ k for each v ∈ V(G).
- When χ_ℓ(G) = k we say that G has list chromatic number k or that G is k-choosable.
- We immediately have that if χ(G) is the typical chromatic number of a graph G, then

 $\chi(G) \leq \chi_{\ell}(G).$

But we know the gap between $\chi(G)$ and $\chi_{\ell}(G)$ can be arbitrarily large

Two Types of of Questions

When does χ(G) = χ_ℓ(G)?
 A graph is chromatic choosable if χ(G) = χ_ℓ(G).

• How large can be the gap between $\chi(G)$ and $\chi_{\ell}(G)$?

 We will ask both these questions in the context of Cartesian Products of Graphs. • When does $\chi(G) = \chi_{\ell}(G)$? A graph is chromatic choosable if $\chi(G) = \chi_{\ell}(G)$.

Theorem (Ohba's Conjecture: Noel, Reed, Wu (2014)) If $\chi(G) \geq \frac{|V(G)|-1}{2}$ then, $\chi_{\ell}(G) = \chi(G)$.

Conjecture (List Coloring Conjecture) If G is a line graph of some loopless multigraph, then $\chi_{\ell}(G) = \chi(G)$.

- Galvin (1995) showed that the List Coloring Conjecture holds for bipartite multigraphs.
- Total graphs and claw free graphs are also conjectured to be chromatic choosable.

• How large can be the gap between $\chi(G)$ and $\chi_{2}(G)$?

Two Types of of Questions

When does χ(G) = χ_ℓ(G)?
 A graph is chromatic choosable if χ(G) = χ_ℓ(G).

- How large can be the gap between $\chi(G)$ and $\chi_{\ell}(G)$?
- We will ask both these questions in the context of Cartesian Products of Graphs.

Two Types of of Questions

When does χ(G) = χ_ℓ(G)?
 A graph is chromatic choosable if χ(G) = χ_ℓ(G).

- How large can be the gap between $\chi(G)$ and $\chi_{\ell}(G)$?
- We will ask both these questions in the context of Cartesian Products of Graphs.

Cartesian Product of Graphs

- The Cartesian Product G□H of graphs G and H is a graph with vertex set V(G) × V(H).
 Two vertices (u, v) and (u', v') are adjacent in G□H if either u = u' and vv' ∈ E(H) or uu' ∈ E(G) and v = v'.
- Here's $C_5 \Box P_3$:



• Every connected graph has a unique factorization under the Cartesian product (that can be found in linear time and space).

•
$$\chi(\mathbf{G}\Box \mathbf{H}) = \max{\chi(\mathbf{G}), \chi(\mathbf{H})}.$$

Cartesian Product of Graphs

- The Cartesian Product G□H of graphs G and H is a graph with vertex set V(G) × V(H).
 Two vertices (u, v) and (u', v') are adjacent in G□H if either u = u' and vv' ∈ E(H) or uu' ∈ E(G) and v = v'.
- Here's $C_5 \Box P_3$:



• Every connected graph has a unique factorization under the Cartesian product (that can be found in linear time and space).

•
$$\chi(\mathbf{G}\Box \mathbf{H}) = \max\{\chi(\mathbf{G}), \chi(\mathbf{H})\}$$

- Col(G), the <u>coloring number</u> of a graph G, is the smallest integer d for which there exists an ordering, v₁, v₂,..., v_n, of the elements in V(G) such that each vertex v_i has at most d − 1 neighbors among v₁, v₂,..., v_{i−1}.
- An easy inductive argument proves this theorem.
- Borowiecki et al. showed that their bound is tight for certain factors ($G = H = K_{k,(2k)^{k(k+k^k)}}$), but in general, by a result of Alon, is exponential in the list-chromatic number, and not necessarily exact.

- Col(G), the <u>coloring number</u> of a graph G, is the smallest integer d for which there exists an ordering, v₁, v₂,..., v_n, of the elements in V(G) such that each vertex v_i has at most d − 1 neighbors among v₁, v₂,..., v_{i−1}.
- An easy inductive argument proves this theorem.
- Borowiecki et al. showed that their bound is tight for certain factors ($G = H = K_{k,(2k)^{k(k+k^k)}}$), but in general, by a result of Alon, is exponential in the list-chromatic number, and not necessarily exact.

- Col(G), the <u>coloring number</u> of a graph G, is the smallest integer d for which there exists an ordering, v₁, v₂,..., v_n, of the elements in V(G) such that each vertex v_i has at most d − 1 neighbors among v₁, v₂,..., v_{i−1}.
- An easy inductive argument proves this theorem.
- Borowiecki et al. showed that their bound is tight for certain factors ($G = H = K_{k,(2k)^{k(k+k^k)}}$), but in general, by a result of Alon, is exponential in the list-chromatic number, and not necessarily exact.

- Col(*G*), the <u>coloring number</u> of a graph *G*, is the smallest integer *d* for which there exists an ordering, $v_1, v_2, ..., v_n$, of the elements in V(G) such that each vertex v_i has at most d 1 neighbors among $v_1, v_2, ..., v_{i-1}$.
- An easy inductive argument proves this theorem.
- Borowiecki et al. showed that their bound is tight for certain factors ($G = H = K_{k,(2k)^{k(k+k^k)}}$), but in general, by a result of Alon, is exponential in the list-chromatic number, and not necessarily exact.

- **Question:** Can we characterize situations where *G*□*H* is chromatic choosable?
- Question: For what (chromatic choosable) graphs G is $G \Box P_n$ chromatic choosable?
- Question: For which classes of graphs containing G and H can we improve on known bounds for χ_ℓ(G□H)?

- **Question:** Can we characterize situations where *G*□*H* is chromatic choosable?
- **Question:** For what (chromatic choosable) graphs *G* is $G \square P_n$ chromatic choosable?
- Question: For which classes of graphs containing *G* and *H* can we improve on known bounds for $\chi_{\ell}(G \Box H)$?

- **Question:** Can we characterize situations where *G*□*H* is chromatic choosable?
- **Question:** For what (chromatic choosable) graphs *G* is $G \square P_n$ chromatic choosable?
- Question: For which classes of graphs containing *G* and *H* can we improve on known bounds for $\chi_{\ell}(G \Box H)$?

• For fixed G, a: $\chi_{\ell}(G \Box K_{a,b}) \leq \chi_{\ell}(G) + \operatorname{Col}(K_{a,b}) - 1 = \chi_{\ell}(G) + a$

Question: Does there always exist a *b* such that this upper bound is attained?

Theorem (Borowiecki, Jendrol, Kral, Miskuf (2006)) $\chi_{\ell}(G \Box K_{a,b}) = \chi_{\ell}(G) + a$, whenever $b \ge (\chi_{\ell}(G) + a - 1)^{a|V(G)|}$

Question: Can we improve the lower bound on b?

Question: For which graphs *G*, can we give a characterization of such *b*?

• For fixed G, a: $\chi_{\ell}(G \Box K_{a,b}) \leq \chi_{\ell}(G) + \operatorname{Col}(K_{a,b}) - 1 = \chi_{\ell}(G) + a$

Question: Does there always exist a *b* such that this upper bound is attained?

Theorem (Borowiecki, Jendrol, Kral, Miskuf (2006)) $\chi_{\ell}(G \Box K_{a,b}) = \chi_{\ell}(G) + a$, whenever $b \ge (\chi_{\ell}(G) + a - 1)^{a|V(G)|}$

Question: Can we improve the lower bound on b?

Question: For which graphs *G*, can we give a characterization of such *b*?

• For fixed G, a: $\chi_{\ell}(G \Box K_{a,b}) \leq \chi_{\ell}(G) + \operatorname{Col}(K_{a,b}) - 1 = \chi_{\ell}(G) + a$

Question: Does there always exist a *b* such that this upper bound is attained?

Theorem (Borowiecki, Jendrol, Kral, Miskuf (2006)) $\chi_{\ell}(G \Box K_{a,b}) = \chi_{\ell}(G) + a$, whenever $b \ge (\chi_{\ell}(G) + a - 1)^{a|V(G)|}$

Question: Can we improve the lower bound on *b*?

Question: For which graphs *G*, can we give a characterization of such *b*?

Motivating Questions - Type II When $G = K_1$, $G \Box K_{a,b} = K_{a,b}$, and we know Theorem (Folklore, 1970s) $\chi_\ell(K_{a,b}) = a + 1$ iff $b \ge a^a$

- When b ≥ a, we know χ_ℓ(K_{a,b}) ≤ Col(K_{a,b}) = a + 1.
 So, for fixed a, this theorem tells us the smallest value of b such that χ_ℓ(K_{a,b}) is as large as possible (i.e., far from being chromatic-choosable).
 Question: For which G, can we have a similar result for
 - $G \Box K_{a,b}$
- We can construct a sequence of graphs with increasing list chromatic number starting from chromatic number 2: χ(K_{a,a^a}) = χ(K_{1,1}) = 2 = χ_ℓ(K_{1,1}) < 3 = χ_ℓ(K_{2,4}) < 4 = χ_ℓ(K_{3,27}) < ... < a + 1 = χ_ℓ(K_{a,a^a})
 Question: Can we construct such a sequence starting
 - from chromatic number k > 2?

When $G = K_1$, $G \Box K_{a,b} = K_{a,b}$, and we know Theorem (Folklore, 1970s) $\chi_{\ell}(K_{a,b}) = a + 1$ iff $b \ge a^a$

- When b ≥ a, we know χ_ℓ(K_{a,b}) ≤ Col(K_{a,b}) = a + 1. So, for fixed a, this theorem tells us the smallest value of b such that χ_ℓ(K_{a,b}) is as large as possible (i.e., far from being chromatic-choosable).
 Question: For which G, can we have a similar result for
 - $G \sqcup K_{a,b}$
- We can construct a sequence of graphs with increasing list chromatic number starting from chromatic number 2: χ(K_{a,a^a}) = χ(K_{1,1}) = 2 = χ_ℓ(K_{1,1}) < 3 = χ_ℓ(K_{2,4}) < 4 = χ_ℓ(K_{3,27}) < ... < a + 1 = χ_ℓ(K_{a,a^a})
 Question: Can we construct such a sequence starting

from chromatic number k > 2?

When $G = K_1$, $G \Box K_{a,b} = K_{a,b}$, and we know Theorem (Folklore, 1970s) $\chi_{\ell}(K_{a,b}) = a + 1$ iff $b \ge a^a$

When b ≥ a, we know χ_ℓ(K_{a,b}) ≤ Col(K_{a,b}) = a + 1.
 So, for fixed a, this theorem tells us the smallest value of b such that χ_ℓ(K_{a,b}) is as large as possible (i.e., far from being chromatic-choosable).
 Question: For which G, can we have a similar result for

 $G \Box K_{a,b}$?

 We can construct a sequence of graphs with increasing list chromatic number starting from chromatic number 2: χ(K_{a,a^a}) = χ(K_{1,1}) = 2 = χ_ℓ(K_{1,1}) < 3 = χ_ℓ(K_{2,4}) < 4 = χ_ℓ(K_{3,27}) < ... < a + 1 = χ_ℓ(K_{a,a^a})
 Question: Can we construct such a sequence starting

When $G = K_1$, $G \Box K_{a,b} = K_{a,b}$, and we know Theorem (Folklore, 1970s) $\chi_{\ell}(K_{a,b}) = a + 1$ iff $b \ge a^a$

When b ≥ a, we know χ_ℓ(K_{a,b}) ≤ Col(K_{a,b}) = a + 1.
 So, for fixed a, this theorem tells us the smallest value of b such that χ_ℓ(K_{a,b}) is as large as possible (i.e., far from being chromatic-choosable).
 Question: For which G, can we have a similar result for

 $G \square K_{a,b}$?

 We can construct a sequence of graphs with increasing list chromatic number starting from chromatic number 2: χ(K_{a,a^a}) = χ(K_{1,1}) = 2 = χ_ℓ(K_{1,1}) < 3 = χ_ℓ(K_{2,4}) < 4 = χ_ℓ(K_{3,27}) < ... < a + 1 = χ_ℓ(K_{a,a^a})
 Question: Can we construct such a sequence starting

When $G = K_1$, $G \Box K_{a,b} = K_{a,b}$, and we know Theorem (Folklore, 1970s) $\chi_{\ell}(K_{a,b}) = a + 1$ iff $b \ge a^a$

When b ≥ a, we know χ_ℓ(K_{a,b}) ≤ Col(K_{a,b}) = a + 1.
 So, for fixed a, this theorem tells us the smallest value of b such that χ_ℓ(K_{a,b}) is as large as possible (i.e., far from being chromatic-choosable).
 Question: For which G, can we have a similar result for

 $G \Box K_{a,b}$?

 We can construct a sequence of graphs with increasing list chromatic number starting from chromatic number 2: χ(K_{a,a^a}) = χ(K_{1,1}) = 2 = χ_ℓ(K_{1,1}) < 3 = χ_ℓ(K_{2,4}) < 4 = χ_ℓ(K_{3,27}) < ... < a + 1 = χ_ℓ(K_{a,a^a})
 Question: Can we construct such a sequence starting from chromatic number k > 2?

When $G = K_1$, $G \Box K_{a,b} = K_{a,b}$, and we know Theorem (Folklore, 1970s) $\chi_{\ell}(K_{a,b}) = a + 1$ iff $b \ge a^a$

When b ≥ a, we know χ_ℓ(K_{a,b}) ≤ Col(K_{a,b}) = a + 1.
 So, for fixed a, this theorem tells us the smallest value of b such that χ_ℓ(K_{a,b}) is as large as possible (i.e., far from being chromatic-choosable).
 Question: For which G, can we have a similar result for

 $G \square K_{a,b}$?

 We can construct a sequence of graphs with increasing list chromatic number starting from chromatic number 2: χ(K_{a,a^a}) = χ(K_{1,1}) = 2 = χ_ℓ(K_{1,1}) < 3 = χ_ℓ(K_{2,4}) < 4 = χ_ℓ(K_{3,27}) < ... < a + 1 = χ_ℓ(K_{a,a^a})
 Question: Can we construct such a sequence starting

Question: Can we construct such a sequence starting from chromatic number k > 2?

How can we prove these?

Corollary (K. and Mudrock) $\chi_{\ell}(C_{2t+1} \Box K_{1,s}) = \begin{cases} 3 & \text{if } s < 2^{2t+1} - 2 \\ 4 & \text{if } s \ge 2^{2t+1} - 2. \end{cases}$

Corollary (K. and Mudrock) $\chi_{\ell}(K_n \Box K_{1,s}) = \begin{cases} n & \text{if } s < n! \\ n+1 & \text{if } s \ge n!. \end{cases}$

Corollary (K. and Mudrock) $\chi_{\ell}((K_n \vee C_{2t+1}) \Box K_{1,s}) = \begin{cases} n+3 & \text{if } s < \frac{1}{3}(n+3)!(4^t-1) \\ n+4 & \text{if } s \ge \frac{1}{3}(n+3)!(4^t-1). \end{cases}$

Corollary (K. and Mudrock) $\chi_{\ell}(C_{2t+1} \Box K_{2,b}) = 5$ if and only if $b \ge 9(9^t - 1)^2$.

Corollary (K. and Mudrock) $\chi_{\ell}(K_n \Box K_{a,b}) = n + a \text{ if and only if } b \ge \left(\frac{(n+a-1)!}{(a-1)!}\right)^a$

• We introduce the notion of strong chromatic choosability:

- List assignment, *L*, for *G* is a bad k-assignment for *G* if *G* is not *L*-colorable and |*L*(*v*)| = k for each *v* ∈ *V*(*G*).
- List assignment, *L*, is constant if *L*(*v*) is the same for each *v* ∈ *V*(*G*).
- A constant (and bad) 2-assignment for a C₅:



- We introduce the notion of strong chromatic choosability:
- List assignment, L, for G is a bad k-assignment for G if G is not L-colorable and |L(v)| = k for each v ∈ V(G).
- List assignment, *L*, is constant if *L*(*v*) is the same for each *v* ∈ *V*(*G*).
- A constant (and bad) 2-assignment for a C₅:



- We introduce the notion of strong chromatic choosability:
- List assignment, L, for G is a bad k-assignment for G if G is not L-colorable and |L(v)| = k for each v ∈ V(G).
- List assignment, *L*, is constant if *L*(*v*) is the same for each *v* ∈ *V*(*G*).
- A constant (and bad) 2-assignment for a C₅:



- We introduce the notion of strong chromatic choosability:
- List assignment, L, for G is a bad k-assignment for G if G is not L-colorable and |L(v)| = k for each v ∈ V(G).
- List assignment, *L*, is constant if *L*(*v*) is the same for each *v* ∈ *V*(*G*).
- A constant (and bad) 2-assignment for a C₅:



A graph G is said to be strong k-chromatic choosable if

 χ(G) = k and if every bad (k - 1)-assignment for G is constant.

Proposition (K. and Mudrock, 2021)

Let G be a strong k-chromatic choosable graph. Then (i) $\chi(G) = k = \chi_{\ell}(G)$ (i.e. G is chromatic choosable), (ii) $\chi(G - \{v\}) \le \chi_{\ell}(G - \{v\}) < k$ for any $v \in V(G)$, (iii) k = 2 if and only if G is K_2 , (iv) k = 3 if and only if G is an odd cycle, (v) $G \lor K_p$ is strong (k + p)-chromatic choosable for any p

- This family contains strong *k*-critical graphs, studied by Steibitz, Tuza, and Voigt (2008), for color criticality in the context of list coloring.
- Strong k-critical graph is k-critical and every bad (k-1)-assignment is constant.
- We are essentially relaxing edge-criticality in strong *k*-critical graphs to vertex-criticality.

• A graph G is said to be strong k-chromatic choosable if

 $\chi(G) = k$ and if every bad (k - 1)-assignment for G is constant.

Proposition (K. and Mudrock, 2021)

Let G be a strong k-chromatic choosable graph. Then (i) $\chi(G) = k = \chi_{\ell}(G)$ (i.e. G is chromatic choosable), (ii) $\chi(G - \{v\}) \le \chi_{\ell}(G - \{v\}) < k$ for any $v \in V(G)$, (iii) k = 2 if and only if G is K_2 , (iv) k = 3 if and only if G is an odd cycle, (v) $G \lor K_p$ is strong (k + p)-chromatic choosable for any $p \in \mathbb{N}$.

- This family contains strong *k*-critical graphs, studied by Steibitz, Tuza, and Voigt (2008), for color criticality in the context of list coloring.
- Strong k-critical graph is k-critical and every bad (k - 1)-assignment is constant.
- We are essentially relaxing edge-criticality in strong *k*-critical graphs to vertex-criticality.
• A graph G is said to be strong k-chromatic choosable if

 $\chi(G) = k$ and if every bad (k - 1)-assignment for G is constant.

Proposition (K. and Mudrock, 2021)

Let G be a strong k-chromatic choosable graph. Then (i) $\chi(G) = k = \chi_{\ell}(G)$ (i.e. G is chromatic choosable), (ii) $\chi(G - \{v\}) \le \chi_{\ell}(G - \{v\}) < k$ for any $v \in V(G)$, (iii) k = 2 if and only if G is K_2 , (iv) k = 3 if and only if G is an odd cycle, (v) $G \lor K_p$ is strong (k + p)-chromatic choosable for any $p \in \mathbb{N}$.

- This family contains strong *k*-critical graphs, studied by Steibitz, Tuza, and Voigt (2008), for color criticality in the context of list coloring.
- Strong k-critical graph is k-critical and every bad (k - 1)-assignment is constant.
- We are essentially relaxing edge-criticality in strong *k*-critical graphs to vertex-criticality.

There are many infinite families of graphs that satisfy these notions.

Are there strongly chromatic choosable graphs which are not strongly critical?

Yes, we can construct examples of strong *k*-chromatic choosable graphs which are not strongly *k*-critical for each $k \ge 4$.

Lemma (K. and Mudrock, 2021)

Let G be a strong k-chromatic choosable graph. Let $A, B \subseteq V(G)$ such that $A \cup B = V(G)$ and $C = A \cap B$ with $|A|, |B| > |C|, 0 < |C| \le 3$ when k is even and $0 < |C| \le 4$ when k is odd. Form G' by adding vertices u and s to G, and edges so that u is adjacent to every vertex in A and s is adjacent to every vertex in B. If $\chi(G') > k$, then G' is strong (k + 1)-chromatic choosable.

There are many infinite families of graphs that satisfy these notions.

Are there strongly chromatic choosable graphs which are not strongly critical?

Yes, we can construct examples of strong *k*-chromatic choosable graphs which are not strongly *k*-critical for each $k \ge 4$.

Lemma (K. and Mudrock, 2021)

Let G be a strong k-chromatic choosable graph. Let $A, B \subseteq V(G)$ such that $A \cup B = V(G)$ and $C = A \cap B$ with $|A|, |B| > |C|, 0 < |C| \le 3$ when k is even and $0 < |C| \le 4$ when k is odd. Form G' by adding vertices u and s to G, and edges so that u is adjacent to every vertex in A and s is adjacent to every vertex in B. If $\chi(G') > k$, then G' is strong (k + 1)-chromatic choosable.

The graph below is strong 4-chromatic choosable, but it is not strong 4-critical.



Unique List Colorabliltiy

Theorem (Akbari, Mirrokni, Sadjad (2006)) Let G be a graph with n vertices and m edges and $f: V(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be a function such that $\sum_{v \in V(G)} f(v) = m + n$. If there is a list assignment, L, for G such that |L(v)| = f(v) for each $v \in V(G)$ and G has a unique L-coloring, then G is f-choosable.

- We say that *G* is f-choosable if *G* is *L*-colorable whenever |L(v)| = f(v) for each $v \in V(G)$.
- This result helps us to prove something about *f*-choosability by finding one list assignment with the needed properties (Tough!).

Unique List Colorabliltiy

Theorem (Akbari, Mirrokni, Sadjad (2006)) Let G be a graph with n vertices and m edges and $f: V(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be a function such that $\sum_{v \in V(G)} f(v) = m + n$. If there is a list assignment, L, for G such that |L(v)| = f(v) for each $v \in V(G)$ and G has a unique L-coloring, then G is f-choosable.

- We say that *G* is f-choosable if *G* is *L*-colorable whenever |L(v)| = f(v) for each $v \in V(G)$.
- This result helps us to prove something about *f*-choosability by finding one list assignment with the needed properties (Tough!).

Unique List Colorabliltiy

Theorem (Akbari, Mirrokni, Sadjad (2006)) Let G be a graph with n vertices and m edges and $f: V(G) \to \mathbb{N}$ be a function such that $\sum_{v \in V(G)} f(v) = m + n$. If there is a list assignment, L, for G such that |L(v)| = f(v) for each $v \in V(G)$ and G has a unique L-coloring, then G is f-choosable.

- We say that *G* is f-choosable if *G* is *L*-colorable whenever |L(v)| = f(v) for each $v \in V(G)$.
- This result helps us to prove something about f-choosability by finding one list assignment with the needed properties (Tough!).

Important Implication of the Akbari et al.

From the Akbari et al. (2006) result, we may deduce the following lemma which is a key ingredient in the proof of our main result.

Lemma

Suppose G is a strong k-chromatic choosable graph with n vertices and m edges. Let L be a list assignment with $|L(v)| \ge k - 1$ for all v and L is a not a constant (k - 1)-assignment for G.

If $m \le n(k-2)$ then G has at least two L-colorings.

Important Implication of the Akbari et al.



We say a strong *k*-chromatic choosable graph with *n* vertices and *m* edges satisfies the edge condition if $m \le n(k-2)$.

- All strongly chromatic choosable graphs we have encountered thus far satisfy the edge condition.
- Moreover, any strongly chromatic choosable graph which satisfies the edge condition will remain a strongly chromatic choosable graph satisfying the edge condition when joined to a complete graph.

We say a strong *k*-chromatic choosable graph with *n* vertices and *m* edges satisfies the edge condition if $m \le n(k-2)$.

- All strongly chromatic choosable graphs we have encountered thus far satisfy the edge condition.
- Moreover, any strongly chromatic choosable graph which satisfies the edge condition will remain a strongly chromatic choosable graph satisfying the edge condition when joined to a complete graph.

Unfortunately, we suspect there exist strong *k*-chromatic choosable graphs which do not satisfy the edge condition for each $k \ge 4$. We have constructed examples in the cases of k = 4, 5, 6, 7.

On the bright side, we have the following result.

Lemma (K. and Mudrock, 2021)

Suppose that G is a strong k-chromatic choosable graph which does not satisfy the edge condition. Then, for sufficiently large p, $G \lor K_p$ is a strong (k + p)-chromatic choosable graph which

• Above, p needs to satisfy $p(2k + p - 3)/2 \ge m - n(k - 2)$.

Unfortunately, we suspect there exist strong *k*-chromatic choosable graphs which do not satisfy the edge condition for each $k \ge 4$. We have constructed examples in the cases of k = 4, 5, 6, 7.

On the bright side, we have the following result.

Lemma (K. and Mudrock, 2021)

Suppose that G is a strong k-chromatic choosable graph which does not satisfy the edge condition. Then, for sufficiently large p, $G \lor K_p$ is a strong (k + p)-chromatic choosable graph which

satisfies the edge condition.

• Above, p needs to satisfy $p(2k + p - 3)/2 \ge m - n(k - 2)$.

Unfortunately, we suspect there exist strong *k*-chromatic choosable graphs which do not satisfy the edge condition for each $k \ge 4$. We have constructed examples in the cases of k = 4, 5, 6, 7.

On the bright side, we have the following result.

Lemma (K. and Mudrock, 2021)

Suppose that G is a strong k-chromatic choosable graph which does not satisfy the edge condition. Then, for sufficiently large p, $G \lor K_p$ is a strong (k + p)-chromatic choosable graph which satisfies the edge condition.

• Above, p needs to satisfy
$$p(2k + p - 3)/2 \ge m - n(k - 2)$$
.

Theorem (K. and Mudrock, 2021)

Let *M* be a strong *k*-chromatic choosable graph which satisfies the edge condition, and let *H* be a graph which contains a Hamilton path, w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_m , such that w_i has at most ρ neighbors among w_1, \ldots, w_{i-1} . Then,

 $\chi_{\ell}(M\Box H) \leq k + \rho - 1.$

This result improves upon the Borowiecki et al. bound when H is a path, grid, power of a path, cycle, complete graph, etc.

Proof of Main Result

We always use fixed colors for the first m - 2 copies of M. We then use a loaded inductive hypothesis to possibly modify how we will color the (m - 1)st copy of M in $M \Box H$.

The loaded induction:

Lemma (K. and Mudrock, 2021)

Let *M* and *H* satisfy the hypotheses of the theorem, and let $G = M \Box H$.

Let L be an arbitrary $(k + \rho - 1)$ -assignment for G. Then, there exist two L-colorings of G, c_1 and c_2 , with the property that there exists a vertex, v, in the mth copy of M in G such that $c_1(v) \neq c_2(v)$, and for any u not in the mth copy of M in G, $c_1(u) = c_2(u)$.

Chromatic Choosable Grid-like Graphs

Corollary (K. and Mudrock, 2021)

Suppose that M is a strong k-chromatic choosable graph which satisfies the edge condition. Then, $M \Box P_n$ is chromatic choosable.

This Corollary shows that the bound in our main result is tight. Note that this is where $\rho = 1$.

What about $\rho > 1$?

The List Color Function

- For k ∈ N, let P(G, k) denote the number of proper colorings of G with colors from {1,...,k}.
- It is known that P(G, k) is a polynomial in k of degree |V(G)|. We call P(G, k) the chromatic polynomial of G.
- The list color function of G, P_ℓ(G, k), is the minimum number of k-list colorings of G where the minimum is taken over all k-list assignments for G.
- Recall, $P(K_{2,4}, 2) = 2$, and yet $P_{\ell}(K_{2,4}, 2) = 0$
- For every graph G and each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $P_{\ell}(G, k) \leq P(G, k)$.

The List Color Function

- For k ∈ N, let P(G, k) denote the number of proper colorings of G with colors from {1,...,k}.
- It is known that P(G, k) is a polynomial in k of degree |V(G)|. We call P(G, k) the chromatic polynomial of G.
- The list color function of G, P_ℓ(G, k), is the minimum number of k-list colorings of G where the minimum is taken over all k-list assignments for G.

Recall, P(K_{2,4}, 2) = 2, and yet P_ℓ(K_{2,4}, 2) = 0
For every graph G and each k ∈ N, P_ℓ(G, k) ≤ P(G, k).

The List Color Function

- For k ∈ N, let P(G, k) denote the number of proper colorings of G with colors from {1,...,k}.
- It is known that P(G, k) is a polynomial in k of degree |V(G)|. We call P(G, k) the chromatic polynomial of G.
- The list color function of G, P_ℓ(G, k), is the minimum number of k-list colorings of G where the minimum is taken over all k-list assignments for G.
- Recall, $P(K_{2,4}, 2) = 2$, and yet $P_{\ell}(K_{2,4}, 2) = 0$
- For every graph G and each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $P_{\ell}(G, k) \leq P(G, k)$.

 $P_{\ell}(G, k)$ need not be a polynomial.

Theorem (Thomassen (2009)) For any graph G, $P_{\ell}(G, k) = P(G, k)$ provided $k > |V(G)|^{10}$.

$P_{\ell}(G, k)$ need not be a polynomial.

Theorem (Thomassen (2009)) For any graph G, $P_{\ell}(G, k) = P(G, k)$ provided $k > |V(G)|^{10}$.

$P_{\ell}(G, k)$ need not be a polynomial.

Theorem (Thomassen (2009)) For any graph G, $P_{\ell}(G, k) = P(G, k)$ provided $k > |V(G)|^{10}$.

 $P_{\ell}(G, k)$ need not be a polynomial.

Theorem (Thomassen (2009)) For any graph G, $P_{\ell}(G, k) = P(G, k)$ provided $k > |V(G)|^{10}$.

Theorem (K. and Mudrock, 2020) $\chi_{\ell}(G \Box K_{a,b}) = \chi_{\ell}(G) + a$, whenever $b \ge (P_{\ell}(G, \chi_{\ell}(G) + a - 1))^a$

 If G has at least one edge, then
 P_ℓ(G, χ_ℓ(G) + a − 1) < (χ_ℓ(G) + a − 1)^{|V(G)|}; giving a
 (significant) improvement over the Borowiecki et al. bound.

• We can in fact prove:

Theorem (K. and Mudrock, 2020) Suppose H is a bipartite graph with partite sets A and B where |A| = a and |B| = b. Let $\delta = \min_{v \in B} d_H(v)$. If $b \ge (P_\ell(G, \chi_\ell(G) + \delta - 1))^a$, then $\chi_\ell(G \Box H) \ge \chi_\ell(G) + \delta$.

Theorem (K. and Mudrock, 2020) $\chi_{\ell}(G \Box K_{a,b}) = \chi_{\ell}(G) + a$, whenever $b \ge (P_{\ell}(G, \chi_{\ell}(G) + a - 1))^a$

 If G has at least one edge, then
 P_ℓ(G, χ_ℓ(G) + a − 1) < (χ_ℓ(G) + a − 1)^{|V(G)|}; giving a
 (significant) improvement over the Borowiecki et al. bound.

• We can in fact prove:

Theorem (K. and Mudrock, 2020) Suppose H is a bipartite graph with partite sets A and B where |A| = a and |B| = b. Let $\delta = \min_{v \in B} d_H(v)$. If $b \ge (P_\ell(G, \chi_\ell(G) + \delta - 1))^a$, then $\chi_\ell(G \Box H) \ge \chi_\ell(G) + \delta$.

Theorem (K. and Mudrock, 2020) $\chi_{\ell}(G \Box K_{a,b}) = \chi_{\ell}(G) + a$, whenever $b \ge (P_{\ell}(G, \chi_{\ell}(G) + a - 1))^a$

If G has at least one edge, then
 P_ℓ(G, χ_ℓ(G) + a − 1) < (χ_ℓ(G) + a − 1)|^{V(G)|}; giving a (significant) improvement over the Borowiecki et al. bound.

We can in fact prove:

Theorem (K. and Mudrock, 2020)

Suppose *H* is a bipartite graph with partite sets *A* and *B* where |A| = a and |B| = b. Let $\delta = \min_{v \in B} d_H(v)$. If $b \ge (P_{\ell}(G, \chi_{\ell}(G) + \delta - 1))^a$, then $\chi_{\ell}(G \Box H) \ge \chi_{\ell}(G) + \delta$.

Theorem (K. and Mudrock, 2020)

 $\chi_\ell(G \Box K_{a,b}) = \chi_\ell(G) + a$, whenever $b \ge (P_\ell(G,\chi_\ell(G) + a - 1))^a$

Theorem (K. and Mudrock, 2020) If G is a strong k-chromatic choosable graph and $k \ge a + 1$, then $\chi_{\ell}(G \Box K_{a,b}) = \chi_{\ell}(G) + a$ if and only if $b \ge (P_{\ell}(G, \chi_{\ell}(G) + a - 1))^a$.

The proof idea is:

If *L* is a $(\chi_{\ell}(G) + a - 1)$ -assignment for $G \Box K_{a,b}$, there is at most one proper *L*-coloring of the copies of G corresponding to the partite set of size *a* that leads to a bad assignment for a given "bottom" copy of *G*.

We show if two such colorings existed, we could obtain a proper *a*-coloring of *G*.

A simple counting argument completes the proof that there exists a proper *L*-coloring of $G \Box K_{a,b}$ when

Theorem (K. and Mudrock, 2020)

 $\chi_\ell(G \Box K_{a,b}) = \chi_\ell(G) + a$, whenever $b \ge (P_\ell(G,\chi_\ell(G) + a - 1))^a$

Theorem (K. and Mudrock, 2020)

If G is a strong k-chromatic choosable graph and $k \ge a + 1$, then $\chi_{\ell}(G \Box K_{a,b}) = \chi_{\ell}(G) + a$ if and only if $b \ge (P_{\ell}(G, \chi_{\ell}(G) + a - 1))^a$.

The proof idea is:

If *L* is a $(\chi_{\ell}(G) + a - 1)$ -assignment for $G \Box K_{a,b}$, there is at most one proper *L*-coloring of the copies of G corresponding to the partite set of size *a* that leads to a bad assignment for a given "bottom" copy of *G*.

We show if two such colorings existed, we could obtain a proper *a*-coloring of *G*.

A simple counting argument completes the proof that there exists a proper *L*-coloring of $G \Box K_{a,b}$ when

Theorem (K. and Mudrock, 2020)

 $\chi_\ell(G \Box K_{a,b}) = \chi_\ell(G) + a$, whenever $b \ge (P_\ell(G,\chi_\ell(G) + a - 1))^a$

Theorem (K. and Mudrock, 2020)

If G is a strong k-chromatic choosable graph and $k \ge a + 1$, then $\chi_{\ell}(G \Box K_{a,b}) = \chi_{\ell}(G) + a$ if and only if $b \ge (P_{\ell}(G, \chi_{\ell}(G) + a - 1))^a$.

The proof idea is:

If *L* is a $(\chi_{\ell}(G) + a - 1)$ -assignment for $G \Box K_{a,b}$, there is at most one proper *L*-coloring of the copies of G corresponding to the partite set of size *a* that leads to a bad assignment for a given "bottom" copy of *G*.

We show if two such colorings existed, we could obtain a proper *a*-coloring of *G*.

A simple counting argument completes the proof that there exists a proper *L*-coloring of $G \square K_{a,b}$ when

Some Applications

Theorem (K. and Mudrock, 2020)

If G is a strong k-chromatic choosable graph and $k \ge a + 1$, then $\chi_{\ell}(G \Box K_{a,b}) = \chi_{\ell}(G) + a$ if and only if $b \ge (P_{\ell}(G, \chi_{\ell}(G) + a - 1))^a$.

Corollary (K. and Mudrock, 2020) $\chi_{\ell}(C_{2t+1} \Box K_{2,b}) = 5$ if and only if $b \ge (P_{\ell}(C_{2t+1}, 4))^2 = (3^{2t+1} - 3)^2 = 9(9^t - 1)^2.$

Corollary (K. and Mudrock, 2020) For $n \ge a + 1$, $\chi_{\ell}(K_n \Box K_{a,b}) = n + a$ if and only if $b \ge (P_{\ell}(K_n, n + a - 1))^a = \left(\frac{(n+a-1)!}{(a-1)!}\right)^a$

Some Applications

Theorem (K. and Mudrock, 2020) If G is a strong k-chromatic choosable graph and $k \ge a + 1$, then $\chi_{\ell}(G \Box K_{a,b}) = \chi_{\ell}(G) + a$ if and only if $b \ge (P_{\ell}(G, \chi_{\ell}(G) + a - 1))^a$.

Corollary (K. and Mudrock, 2020) $\chi_{\ell}(C_{2t+1} \Box K_{2,b}) = 5$ if and only if $b \ge (P_{\ell}(C_{2t+1}, 4))^2 = (3^{2t+1} - 3)^2 = 9(9^t - 1)^2.$

Corollary (K. and Mudrock, 2020) For $n \ge a + 1$, $\chi_{\ell}(K_n \Box K_{a,b}) = n + a$ if and only if $b \ge (P_{\ell}(K_n, n + a - 1))^a = \left(\frac{(n+a-1)!}{(a-1)!}\right)^a$

Some Applications

Theorem (K. and Mudrock, 2020) If G is a strong k-chromatic choosable graph and $k \ge a + 1$, then $\chi_{\ell}(G \Box K_{a,b}) = \chi_{\ell}(G) + a$ if and only if $b \ge (P_{\ell}(G, \chi_{\ell}(G) + a - 1))^a$.

Corollary (K. and Mudrock, 2020) $\chi_{\ell}(C_{2t+1} \Box K_{2,b}) = 5$ if and only if $b \ge (P_{\ell}(C_{2t+1}, 4))^2 = (3^{2t+1} - 3)^2 = 9(9^t - 1)^2.$

Corollary (K. and Mudrock, 2020) For $n \ge a + 1$, $\chi_{\ell}(K_n \Box K_{a,b}) = n + a$ if and only if $b \ge (P_{\ell}(K_n, n + a - 1))^a = \left(\frac{(n+a-1)!}{(a-1)!}\right)^a$

Some Applications Theorem (K. and Mudrock, 2020) If G is a strong k-chromatic choosable graph and $k \ge a + 1$, then $\chi_{\ell}(G \square K_{a,b}) = \chi_{\ell}(G) + a$ if and only if $b \ge (P_{\ell}(G, \chi_{\ell}(G) + a - 1))^a$.

Corollary (K. and Mudrock, 2020) For $n \ge a + 1$, $\chi_{\ell}(K_n \Box K_{a,b}) = n + a$ if and only if $b \ge (P_{\ell}(K_n, n + a - 1))^a = \left(\frac{(n+a-1)!}{(a-1)!}\right)^a$

This corollary shows the bound in the Theorem is sharp for all *a*.

 We can construct an arbitrarily long sequence of graphs with increasing list chromatic number starting from chromatic number n:

 $\chi(K_n \sqcup K_{a,b}) = \chi(K_n) = n = \chi_{\ell}(K_n \sqcup K_{0,1}) < n + 1 = \chi_{\ell}(K_n \Box K_{1,n!}) < n + 2 = \chi_{\ell}(K_n \Box K_{2,((n+1)!)^2}) < \dots$

Some Applications Theorem (K. and Mudrock, 2020) If G is a strong k-chromatic choosable graph and $k \ge a + 1$, then $\chi_{\ell}(G \square K_{a,b}) = \chi_{\ell}(G) + a$ if and only if $b \ge (P_{\ell}(G, \chi_{\ell}(G) + a - 1))^a$.

Corollary (K. and Mudrock, 2020) For $n \ge a + 1$, $\chi_{\ell}(K_n \Box K_{a,b}) = n + a$ if and only if $b \ge (P_{\ell}(K_n, n + a - 1))^a = \left(\frac{(n+a-1)!}{(a-1)!}\right)^a$

This corollary shows the bound in the Theorem is sharp for all *a*.

We can construct an arbitrarily long sequence of graphs with increasing list chromatic number starting from chromatic number *n*:
 χ(K_n□K_{a,b}) = χ(K_n) = n = χ_ℓ(K_n□K_{0,1}) < n + 1 = χ_ℓ(K_n□K_{1,b}) < n + 2 = χ_ℓ(K_n□K_{0,1}) < n + 1 =

Some Applications Theorem (K. and Mudrock, 2020) If G is a strong k-chromatic choosable graph and $k \ge a + 1$, then $\chi_{\ell}(G \square K_{a,b}) = \chi_{\ell}(G) + a$ if and only if $b \ge (P_{\ell}(G, \chi_{\ell}(G) + a - 1))^a$.

Corollary (K. and Mudrock, 2020) For $n \ge a + 1$, $\chi_{\ell}(K_n \Box K_{a,b}) = n + a$ if and only if $b \ge (P_{\ell}(K_n, n + a - 1))^a = \left(\frac{(n+a-1)!}{(a-1)!}\right)^a$

This corollary shows the bound in the Theorem is sharp for all *a*.

We can construct an arbitrarily long sequence of graphs with increasing list chromatic number starting from chromatic number *n*:
 χ(K_n□K_{a,b}) = χ(K_n) = n = χ_ℓ(K_n□K_{0,1}) < n + 1 = χ_ℓ(K_n□K_{1,n!}) < n + 2 = χ_ℓ(K_n□K_{2,((n+1)!)²}) < ...
Theorem (K. and Mudrock, 2020)

If G is a strong k-chromatic choosable graph and $k \ge a + 1$, then $\chi_{\ell}(G \Box K_{a,b}) = \chi_{\ell}(G) + a$ if and only if $b \ge (P_{\ell}(G, \chi_{\ell}(G) + a - 1))^a$.

Corollary (K. and Mudrock, 2021) Let G be a strong k-chromatic choosable graph. Then,

$$\chi_{\ell}(G \Box K_{1,s}) = \begin{cases} k & \text{if } s < P_{\ell}(G,k) \\ k+1 & \text{if } s \ge P_{\ell}(G,k). \end{cases}$$

Theorem (K. and Mudrock, 2020)

If G is a strong k-chromatic choosable graph and $k \ge a + 1$, then $\chi_{\ell}(G \Box K_{a,b}) = \chi_{\ell}(G) + a$ if and only if $b \ge (P_{\ell}(G, \chi_{\ell}(G) + a - 1))^a$.

Corollary (K. and Mudrock, 2021) Let G be a strong k-chromatic choosable graph. Then,

$$\chi_{\ell}(G \Box K_{1,s}) = \begin{cases} k & \text{if } s < P_{\ell}(G,k) \\ k+1 & \text{if } s \ge P_{\ell}(G,k). \end{cases}$$

Theorem (K. and Mudrock, 2021) Suppose that G is a strong k-chromatic choosable graph with $k \ge 2$. Then, $\chi_{\ell}(G \square K_{1,s}) = \begin{cases} k & \text{if } s < P_{\ell}(G,k) \\ k+1 & \text{if } s \ge P_{\ell}(G,k). \end{cases}$

• Note we can think of a star in the second factor as a graph that is far from having a Hamilton path. Recall:Theorem (K. and Mudrock, 2021) Let *M* be a strong *k*-chromatic choosable graph which satisfies the edge condition, and let *H* be a graph which contains a Hamilton path, Then $\chi_{\ell}(M\Box H) \leq k + \rho - 1$.

- This shows the exact transition from chromatic choosability for $G \Box K_{1,s}$.
- The theorem is particularly useful (fun!) when we know $P_{\ell}(G, k)$.

Theorem (K. and Mudrock, 2021) Suppose that G is a strong k-chromatic choosable graph with $k \ge 2$. Then, $\chi_{\ell}(G \Box K_{1,s}) = \begin{cases} k & \text{if } s < P_{\ell}(G,k) \\ k+1 & \text{if } s \ge P_{\ell}(G,k). \end{cases}$

- Note we can think of a star in the second factor as a graph that is far from having a Hamilton path. Recall:Theorem (K. and Mudrock, 2021) Let *M* be a strong *k*-chromatic choosable graph which satisfies the edge condition, and let *H* be a graph which contains a Hamilton path, Then $\chi_{\ell}(M\Box H) \leq k + \rho - 1$.
- This shows the exact transition from chromatic choosability for $G \Box K_{1,s}$.
- The theorem is particularly useful (fun!) when we know $P_{\ell}(G, k)$.

We want know (or provide bounds on) P_ℓ(G, k) for a strong k-chromatic choosable graph G.

Theorem (K. and Mudrock, 2021) If G is a strong k-chromatic-choosable graph, then

 $P_{\ell}(G,m) \geq m \max_{v \in V(G)} P_{\ell}(G - \{v\}, m-1) \geq m$

whenever $m \ge k$.

Armed with the fact that P_ℓ(C_{2t+1}, k) = P(C_{2t+1}, k) (can be proved using an elementary counting argument), the following Corollary is now easy to prove.

Corollary (K. and Mudrock, 2021) For any $n, k, t \in \mathbb{N}$,

 $P_{\ell}(K_n \vee C_{2t+1}, k) = P(K_n \vee C_{2t+1}, k) = P(K_n, k)P(C_{2t+1}, k-n).$

Moreover, $P_{\ell}(C_{2t+1} \lor K_n, k) = P(C_{2t+1} \lor K_n, k)$ $= [(k-n-1)^{2t+1} - (k-n-1)] \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (k-i).$

• We will now apply our main result to strongly chromatic choosable graphs of which we have a good understanding of the list color function.

Armed with the fact that P_ℓ(C_{2t+1}, k) = P(C_{2t+1}, k) (can be proved using an elementary counting argument), the following Corollary is now easy to prove.

Corollary (K. and Mudrock, 2021) For any $n, k, t \in \mathbb{N}$,

 $P_{\ell}(K_n \vee C_{2t+1}, k) = P(K_n \vee C_{2t+1}, k) = P(K_n, k)P(C_{2t+1}, k-n).$

Moreover, $P_{\ell}(C_{2t+1} \lor K_n, k) = P(C_{2t+1} \lor K_n, k)$ $= [(k - n - 1)^{2t+1} - (k - n - 1)] \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (k - i).$

• We will now apply our main result to strongly chromatic choosable graphs of which we have a good understanding of the list color function.

Armed with the fact that P_ℓ(C_{2t+1}, k) = P(C_{2t+1}, k) (can be proved using an elementary counting argument), the following Corollary is now easy to prove.

Corollary (K. and Mudrock, 2021) For any $n, k, t \in \mathbb{N}$,

 $P_{\ell}(K_n \vee C_{2t+1}, k) = P(K_n \vee C_{2t+1}, k) = P(K_n, k)P(C_{2t+1}, k-n).$

Moreover, $P_{\ell}(C_{2t+1} \lor K_n, k) = P(C_{2t+1} \lor K_n, k)$ $= [(k - n - 1)^{2t+1} - (k - n - 1)] \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (k - i).$

 We will now apply our main result to strongly chromatic choosable graphs of which we have a good understanding of the list color function.

Corollary (K. and Mudrock, 2021) For any $t \in \mathbb{N}$, we have that:

$$\chi_{\ell}(C_{2t+1} \Box K_{1,s}) = \begin{cases} 3 & \text{if } s < 2^{2t+1} - 2 \\ 4 & \text{if } s \ge 2^{2t+1} - 2. \end{cases}$$

Borowiecki et al. only gives us that *χ*_ℓ(*C*_{2t+1}□*K*_{1,s}) = 4 when s ≥ 3^{2t+1}.

Corollary (K. and Mudrock, 2021) For any $t \in \mathbb{N}$, we have that:

$$\chi_{\ell}(C_{2t+1} \Box K_{1,s}) = \begin{cases} 3 & \text{if } s < 2^{2t+1} - 2\\ 4 & \text{if } s \ge 2^{2t+1} - 2. \end{cases}$$

Borowiecki et al. only gives us that *χ*_ℓ(*C*_{2t+1}□*K*_{1,s}) = 4 when s ≥ 3^{2t+1}.

Corollary (K. and Mudrock, 2021) For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have that:

$$\chi_{\ell}(K_n \Box K_{1,s}) = \begin{cases} n & \text{if } s < n! \\ n+1 & \text{if } s \ge n!. \end{cases}$$

• Borowiecki et al. only gives us that $\chi_{\ell}(K_n \Box K_{1,s}) = n + 1$ when $s \ge n^n$.

Corollary (K. and Mudrock, 2021) For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have that:

$$\chi_{\ell}(K_n \Box K_{1,s}) = \begin{cases} n & \text{if } s < n! \\ n+1 & \text{if } s \ge n!. \end{cases}$$

Borowiecki et al. only gives us that *χ*_ℓ(*K*_n□*K*_{1,s}) = n + 1 when s ≥ nⁿ.

Corollary (K. and Mudrock, 2021) For any $t, n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have that:

$$\chi_{\ell}((K_n \vee C_{2t+1}) \Box K_{1,s}) = \begin{cases} n+3 & \text{if } s < \frac{1}{3}(n+3)!(4^t-1) \\ n+4 & \text{if } s \ge \frac{1}{3}(n+3)!(4^t-1). \end{cases}$$

• Borowiecki et al. only gives us that $\chi_{\ell}((K_n \vee C_{2t+1}) \Box K_{1,s}) = n+4$ when $s \ge (n+3)^{n+2t+1}$.

Corollary (K. and Mudrock, 2021) For any $t, n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have that:

$$\chi_{\ell}((K_n \vee C_{2t+1}) \Box K_{1,s}) = \begin{cases} n+3 & \text{if } s < \frac{1}{3}(n+3)!(4^t-1) \\ n+4 & \text{if } s \ge \frac{1}{3}(n+3)!(4^t-1). \end{cases}$$

• Borowiecki et al. only gives us that $\chi_{\ell}((K_n \vee C_{2t+1}) \Box K_{1,s}) = n+4$ when $s \ge (n+3)^{n+2t+1}$.

Generalizing from Stars

Theorem (K. and Mudrock, 2021)

Let G be a strong k-chromatic choosable graph satisfying the edge condition, and B be a subdivision of $K_{1,s}$ with $s < P_{\ell}(G, k)$. Then, $\chi_{\ell}(G \Box B) = k$.

Theorem (K. and Mudrock, 2021)

Let G is a strong k-chromatic choosable graph with $k \ge 2$. Let T be a rooted tree with root v_0 .

Suppose that v_0 has at most $P_{\ell}(G, k) - 1$ children, and each $v \in V(T) - \{v_0\}$ has at most $P'_{\ell}(G, k - 1) - 1$ children Then, $\chi_{\ell}(G \Box T) = k$. That is, $G \Box T$ is chromatic choosable.

Generalizing from Stars

Theorem (K. and Mudrock, 2021)

Let G be a strong k-chromatic choosable graph satisfying the edge condition, and B be a subdivision of $K_{1,s}$ with $s < P_{\ell}(G, k)$. Then, $\chi_{\ell}(G \Box B) = k$.

Theorem (K. and Mudrock, 2021)

Let G is a strong k-chromatic choosable graph with $k \ge 2$. Let T be a rooted tree with root v_0 .

Suppose that v_0 has at most $P_{\ell}(G, k) - 1$ children, and each $v \in V(T) - \{v_0\}$ has at most $P'_{\ell}(G, k - 1) - 1$ children Then, $\chi_{\ell}(G \Box T) = k$. That is, $G \Box T$ is chromatic choosable.

Main Results - Part 3

Theorem (K. and Mudrock, 2021)

Let *M* be a strong *k*-chromatic choosable graph which satisfies the edge condition. Then, for any $\rho \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists *H* such that $\chi_{\ell}(M \Box H) = k + \rho - 1$.

- For each ρ∈ N we define the H = S_{M,B',ρ} graph inductively. Let S_{M,B',1} = B', a subdivision of K_{1,Pℓ(M,k)-1}. Then, for ρ ≥ 2 we construct S_{M,B',ρ} as: Take Pℓ(M, k + ρ − 2) disjoint copies of S_{M,B',ρ−1} and join a single vertex to these copies.
- This in fact gives the sharpness for the following generalization of the first main theorem:

Theorem (K. and Mudrock, 2021)

Let M be a strong k-chromatic choosable graph which satisfies the edge condition, and H be a (M, ρ) -Cartesian accommodating graph. Then $\chi_{\ell}(M \Box H) \leq k + \rho - 1$.

Main Results - Part 3

Theorem (K. and Mudrock, 2021)

Let M be a strong k-chromatic choosable graph which satisfies the edge condition. Then, for any $\rho \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists H such that $\chi_{\ell}(M \Box H) = k + \rho - 1$.

- For each ρ∈ N we define the H = S_{M,B',ρ} graph inductively. Let S_{M,B',1} = B', a subdivision of K_{1,Pℓ(M,k)-1}. Then, for ρ ≥ 2 we construct S_{M,B',ρ} as: Take Pℓ(M, k + ρ − 2) disjoint copies of S_{M,B',ρ−1} and join a single vertex to these copies.
- This in fact gives the sharpness for the following generalization of the first main theorem:

Theorem (K. and Mudrock, 2021)

Let M be a strong k-chromatic choosable graph which satisfies the edge condition, and H be a (M, ρ) -Cartesian accommodating graph. Then $\chi_{\ell}(M \Box H) \leq k + \rho - 1$.

Thank You! Questions?

- For what graphs *G*, is $G \square P_n$ chromatic choosable for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$?
- Determine when $G \Box H$ will be chromatic choosable based upon some property of the factors.
- Define $f_a(G)$ as the smallest b s.t. $\chi_\ell(G \Box K_{a,b}) = \chi_\ell(G) + a$.
- For what graphs does $f_a(G) = (P_\ell(G, \chi_\ell(G) + a 1))^a$?
- Does there exist a strongly chromatic-choosable graph *M* such that *f_a(M) < (P_ℓ(M, χ_ℓ(M) + a − 1))^a*?
- Is it the case that $f_a(K_n) = \left(\frac{(n+a-1)!}{(a-1)!}\right)^a$ for each n, a?
- Is it always the case that P_l(G, k) = P(G, k) when G is strong chromatic choosable?
- (Thomassen 2009) Does there exist a graph G and a natural number k > 2 such that P_ℓ(G, k) = 1?
- (Mohar 2001) Let G be a Δ(G) + 1-edge-critical graph. Then prove that L(G) is strong (Δ(G) + 1)-chromatic choosable.

Thank You!

Questions?

- For what graphs G, is $G \Box P_n$ chromatic choosable for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$?
- Determine when *G*□*H* will be chromatic choosable based upon some property of the factors.
- Define $f_a(G)$ as the smallest *b* s.t. $\chi_\ell(G \Box K_{a,b}) = \chi_\ell(G) + a$.
- For what graphs does $f_a(G) = (P_\ell(G, \chi_\ell(G) + a 1))^a$?
- Does there exist a strongly chromatic-choosable graph *M* such that *f_a(M) < (P_ℓ(M, χ_ℓ(M) + a − 1))^a*?
- Is it the case that $f_a(K_n) = \left(\frac{(n+a-1)!}{(a-1)!}\right)^a$ for each n, a?
- Is it always the case that P_ℓ(G, k) = P(G, k) when G is strong chromatic choosable?
- (Thomassen 2009) Does there exist a graph G and a natural number k > 2 such that P_ℓ(G, k) = 1?
- (Mohar 2001) Let G be a Δ(G) + 1-edge-critical graph. Then prove that L(G) is strong (Δ(G) + 1)-chromatic choosable.