

5.5.1 (b) Show  $p(x) (u(x)v'(x) - v(x)u'(x)) \Big|_a^b = 0$

for the SL problem with BCs  $\varphi'(0) = 0$ ,  $\varphi(L) = 0$ .

Since  $u, v$  also satisfy these BCs we have

$$p(L) \left( \underbrace{u(L)}_{=0} v'(L) - v(L) \underbrace{u'(L)}_{=0} \right) - p(0) \left( u(0) \underbrace{v'(0)}_{=0} - v(0) \underbrace{u'(0)}_{=0} \right) = 0.$$

(d) Use BCs  $\varphi(a) = \varphi(b)$ ,  $p(a)\varphi'(a) = p(b)\varphi'(b)$  and  $u, v$  satisfying same BCs.

$$\text{from BCs } p(b) \left[ \underbrace{u(b)}_{=u(a)} v'(b) - v(b) \underbrace{u'(b)}_{=v(a)} \right] - p(a) \left[ u(a)v'(a) - v(a)u'(a) \right]$$

So, reordering, we get

$$u(a) \left[ \underbrace{p(b)v'(b) - p(a)v'(a)}_{=0 \text{ from BCs}} \right] - v(a) \left[ \underbrace{p(b)u'(b) - p(a)u'(a)}_{=0 \text{ from BCs}} \right] = 0$$

(f) Use BCs  $\varphi(L) = 0$ , and - when  $p(0) \neq 0$  -  $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \varphi(0) \text{ bounded} \\ \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} p(x)\varphi'(x) = 0 \end{array} \right.$

Again,  $u, v$  satisfy the same BCs.

$$\text{So } p(b) \left[ \underbrace{u(L)}_{=0} v'(L) - v(L) \underbrace{u'(L)}_{=0} \right] - p(0) \left[ u(0)v'(0) - v(0)u'(0) \right]$$

↑  
since this could be 0 (fine) or not we use limit here.

$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} p(x) [u(x)v'(x) - v(x)u'(x)]$ , break into parts:

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} p(x) u(x) v'(x) = \underbrace{\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} p(x) v(x)}_{=0} \underbrace{\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} u(x)}_{\text{bounded}} = 0$$

analogously,  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} p(x) v(x) u'(x) = 0$ .

5.5.2 Consider the SL eqn

$$\frac{d}{dx} [p(x)\varphi'(x)] + q(x)\varphi(x) + \lambda\sigma(x)\varphi(x) = 0$$

with BCs  $\varphi(1) = 0$

$$\text{and } \varphi(2) - 2\varphi'(2) = 0 \quad (\Rightarrow \varphi(2) = 2\varphi'(2))$$

From (5.5.12) we know

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda_m - \lambda_n) \int_1^2 \varphi_n(x)\varphi_m(x)\sigma(x) dx &= p(x) \left[ \varphi_m(x)\varphi_n'(x) - \varphi_n(x)\varphi_m'(x) \right]_1^2 \\ &= p(2) \left[ \underbrace{\varphi_m(2)}_{=2\varphi_m'(2)} \varphi_n'(2) - \varphi_n(2) \underbrace{\varphi_m'(2)}_{=2\varphi_m'(2)} \right] - p(1) \left[ \underbrace{\varphi_m(1)}_{=0} \varphi_n'(1) - \varphi_n(1) \underbrace{\varphi_m'(1)}_{=0} \right] \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

The weight function is  $\sigma$ .

5.5.5 Consider  $\mathcal{L} = \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + 6\frac{d}{dx} + 9$

$$(a) \mathcal{L}(e^{rx}) = \frac{d^2}{dx^2} e^{rx} + 6\frac{d}{dx} e^{rx} + 9e^{rx}$$

$$= r^2 e^{rx} + 6r e^{rx} + 9e^{rx} = e^{rx} (r+3)^2$$

(b) Solve  $\mathcal{L}(y) = 0$ .

Using (a) and the Ansatz  $y = e^{rx}$  we get

$$\mathcal{L}(y) = \mathcal{L}(e^{rx}) = \underbrace{e^{rx}}_{\neq 0} (r+3)^2 = 0 \quad \Rightarrow r = -3$$

Thus, one solution is  $y = c_1 e^{-3x}$

(c) Assume  $z = z(x, r)$  and show  $\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \mathcal{L}(z) = \mathcal{L}\left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial r}\right)$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \mathcal{L}(z) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} z(x, r) + \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( b \frac{\partial}{\partial x} z(x, r) \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial r} q z(x, r) \\ &= \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} z(x, r) + b \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} z(x, r) + q \frac{\partial}{\partial r} z(x, r) \\ &= \mathcal{L}\left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial r}\right)\end{aligned}$$

(d) Find  $\mathcal{L}\left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial r}\right)$  for  $z = e^{rx}$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}\left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial r}\right) &\stackrel{(c)}{=} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \mathcal{L}(z) \stackrel{(a)}{=} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( e^{rx} (r+3)^2 \right) \\ &= x e^{rx} (r+3)^2 + 2(r+3) e^{rx} \\ &= e^{rx} (r+3) [x(r+3) + 2]\end{aligned}$$

(e) Solve for another solution of  $\mathcal{L}(y) = 0$ .

Now use the Ansatz  $y = x e^{rx}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}(x e^{rx}) &= \mathcal{L}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r} e^{rx}\right) \stackrel{(c)}{=} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \mathcal{L}(e^{rx}) \stackrel{(d)}{=} e^{rx} (r+3) [x(r+3) + 2] \\ e^{rx} &\neq 0 \\ \Rightarrow (r+3) [x(r+3) + 2] &= 0\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{So } r = -3 \quad \text{or} \quad x(r+3) + 2 = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad r = \frac{-2}{x} - 3$$

$$\text{Therefore } y = c_2 x e^{-3rx}$$

5.5.7 Let  $\mathcal{L} = \frac{d}{dx} \left( p \frac{d}{dx} \right) + q$ ,  $p, q$  real and show

$$\overline{\mathcal{L}(\psi)} = \mathcal{L}(\overline{\psi}).$$

$$\overline{\mathcal{L}(\psi)} = \overline{\frac{d}{dx} \left( p \left( \frac{d\psi}{dx} \right) \right) + q\psi} = \overline{\frac{d}{dx} (p\psi')} + \underbrace{\overline{q}}_{=q} \overline{\psi}$$

$$= \overline{p'\psi' + p\psi''} + q\overline{\psi}$$

$$= \overline{p'\psi'} + \overline{p\psi''} + q\overline{\psi}$$

$$= \underbrace{\overline{p'}}_{=p'} \overline{\psi'} + \underbrace{\overline{p}}_{=p} \overline{\psi''} + q\overline{\psi} = p'\overline{\psi'} + p\overline{\psi''} + q\overline{\psi} = \mathcal{L}(\overline{\psi})$$

5.5.9 Consider  $\varphi^{(4)} + \lambda e^x \varphi = 0$

with BCs  $\varphi(0) = \varphi(1) = 0$ ,  $\varphi'(0) = 0$ ,  $\varphi''(1) = 0$

Show  $\lambda \leq 0$ .

Think Rayleigh quotient, i.e. multiply by  $\varphi$  and integrate:

$$\Rightarrow \int_0^1 \varphi(x) \varphi^{(4)}(x) dx + \lambda \int_0^1 e^x \varphi^2(x) dx = 0 \quad (*)$$

Since (prod. rule)  $\varphi \varphi^{(4)} = \frac{d}{dx} (\varphi \varphi^{(3)}) - \varphi' \varphi^{(3)} = \frac{d}{dx} (\varphi \varphi^{(3)}) - \frac{d}{dx} (\varphi' \varphi'') + (\varphi'')^2$

The first integral turns into

$$\int_0^1 \varphi(x) \varphi^{(4)}(x) dx = \underbrace{\varphi(x) \varphi^{(3)}(x) \Big|_0^1}_{=0 \text{ from BCs}} - \underbrace{\varphi'(x) \varphi''(x) \Big|_0^1}_{=0 \text{ from BCs}} + \int_0^1 [\varphi''(x)]^2 dx$$

and we get from (\*):  $\lambda = - \frac{\int_0^1 [\varphi''(x)]^2 dx}{\int_0^1 e^x \varphi^2(x) dx} \geq 0$

$\lambda = 0$  is not possible since then  $\varphi''(x) = 0$