

MATH 380

Hemanshu Kaul

kaul@iit.edu

Recall from previous example

- The previous weight gain of 5lbs/day may not be accurate
Different weight gain assumptions?
Growth rate is not a constant, but is proportional to its current weight.

$$\frac{dw}{dt} = cw \quad \text{for a constant } c > 0.$$

with $w(0) = 200$

$$\text{Then } \frac{dw}{w} = ct \Rightarrow w(t) = 200 e^{ct}$$

$$\text{Profit } P(t) = (65-t)(200e^{ct}) - 45t$$

What is c ?

We can estimate C

as $\frac{dw}{dt} \approx 5 \text{ lbs/day}$
when $w = 200 \text{ lbs}$

$$\text{so, } C \approx \frac{5}{200} = 0.025$$

For any fixed c , the optimum occurs at t for $P'(t) = 0$

$$P'(t) = 200ce^{ct}(65-t) - 200e^{ct} - 45 \quad \underline{\text{How is } P'(t) = 0 \text{ solved?}}$$

Another Calculus idea

Given a differentiable function $F(x)$,
and an approximation x_0 to a root of $F(x)$ (i.e., x_0 is close to x s.t. $F(x)=0$)

Found using a "Global" method.

Now we want to improve x_0 & bring it closer to a root.

We know $F'(x_0) = \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \frac{F(x) - F(x_0)}{x - x_0}$,

For x close to x_0 , $F'(x_0)(x - x_0) \approx F(x) - F(x_0)$

i.e., $\underline{F(x) \approx F(x_0) + F'(x_0)(x - x_0)}$ [Linear approximation of $F(x)$]

To find x s.t. $F(x)=0$, set $F(x_0) + F'(x_0)(x - x_0) = 0$

i.e., $x = x_0 - \frac{F(x_0)}{F'(x_0)}$

↑ new approximation

Another Calculus idea

Given a differentiable function $F(x)$,
and an approximation x_0 to a root of $F(x)$ (i.e., x_0 is close to x s.t. $F(x)=0$)

Found using a "Global" method.

Numerical Approximation using Newton's method

$x(n)$ = approx. location of root of $F(x)$ after n iterations

N = # iterations

Input $x(0), N$

Process For $n=1$ to N DO

$$x(n) = x(n-1) - \frac{F(x(n-1))}{F'(x(n-1))}$$

Output $x(N)$

Under what conditions?

Another Calculus idea

Given a differentiable function $F(x)$,
and an approximation x_0 to a root of $F(x)$ (i.e., x_0 is close to x s.t. $F(x)=0$)

Found using a "Global" method.

Numerical Approximation using Newton's method

$x(n)$ = approx. location of root of $F(x)$ after n iterations

N = # iterations

Input $x(0), N$

Process For $n=1$ to N DO
$$x(n) = x(n-1) - \frac{F(x(n-1))}{F'(x(n-1))}$$

Output $x(N)$

Under what conditions? $F'(x)$ exists & is continuous locally

$$F'(x) \neq 0$$

:

Multivariable non-linear Optimization models

We make 40" & 50" TVs.

Manufacturing costs: \$195 per 40", \$225 per 50", plus \$400000 fixed costs.

Suggested retail price: \$339 for 40" & \$399 for 50".

To sell all produced TVs in a competitive market:

we drop prices by 1¢ per unit of that type sold, and additionally

price of 40" drops 0.3¢ per unit of 50" sold,

price of 50" drops 0.4¢ per unit of 40" sold.

How many units of each type of TV should we manufacture?

Multivariable non-linear Optimization models

We make 40" & 50" TVs.

Manufacturing costs: \$195 per 40", \$225 per 50", plus \$400000 fixed costs.

Suggested retail price: \$339 for 40" & \$399 for 50".

To sell all produced TVs in a competitive market:

we drop prices by 1¢ per unit of that type sold, and additionally

price of 40" drops 0.3¢ per unit of 50" sold,

price of 50" drops 0.4¢ per unit of 40" sold.

How many units of each type of TV should we manufacture?

Let x_1 = # 40" TVs , x_2 = # 50" TVs

Maximize Profit [Profit = Revenue - Costs]

Costs, $C(x_1, x_2) = 195x_1 + 225x_2 + 400000$

Revenue, $R(x_1, x_2) = (339 - 0.01x_1 - 0.003x_2)x_1 + (399 - 0.004x_1 - 0.01x_2)x_2$

Maximize $P(x_1, x_2) = R(x_1, x_2) - C(x_1, x_2)$ over $x_1 \geq 0, x_2 \geq 0$

Costs, $C(x_1, x_2) = 195x_1 + 225x_2 + 400000$

Revenue, $R(x_1, x_2) = (339 - 0.01x_1 - 0.003x_2)x_1 + (399 - 0.004x_1 - 0.01x_2)x_2$

Maximize $P(x_1, x_2) = R(x_1, x_2) - C(x_1, x_2)$ over $x_1 \geq 0, x_2 \geq 0$

Method 1 Set $\nabla P = \left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial P}{\partial x_2} \right) = \vec{0}$

Gradient

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial x_1} = 339 - 0.02x_1 - 0.003x_2 - 0.004x_2 - 195 = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial x_2} = -0.003x_1 + 399 - 0.004x_1 - 0.02x_2 - 225 = 0$$

Solve: $x_1 \approx 4735, x_2 \approx 7043$

Verify it's really the maximum - 2nd deriv. test or sketch graph.

Profit $\approx \$553641$

Costs, $C(x_1, x_2) = 195x_1 + 225x_2 + 400000$

Revenue, $R(x_1, x_2) = (339 - 0.01x_1 - 0.003x_2)x_1 + (399 - 0.004x_1 - 0.01x_2)x_2$

Maximize $P(x_1, x_2) = R(x_1, x_2) - C(x_1, x_2)$ over $x_1 \geq 0, x_2 \geq 0$

Method 2 Gradient Method & Steepest ascent/descent

Costs, $C(x_1, x_2) = 195x_1 + 225x_2 + 400000$

Revenue, $R(x_1, x_2) = (339 - 0.01x_1 - 0.003x_2)x_1 + (399 - 0.004x_1 - 0.01x_2)x_2$

Maximize $P(x_1, x_2) = R(x_1, x_2) - C(x_1, x_2)$ over $x_1 \geq 0, x_2 \geq 0$

Method 2 Gradient Method & Steepest ascent/descent

Idea ∇P at a point in domain of a differentiable function $P(x, y)$ always points in the direction of max rate of increase of P

Iterative process Need (x_0, y_0) initial approximation and a sequence of step-sizes λ_k .

For $k=0, 1, \dots, N$

$$x_{k+1} = x_k + \lambda_k \frac{\partial P}{\partial x}(x_k, y_k)$$

$$y_{k+1} = y_k + \lambda_k \frac{\partial P}{\partial y}(x_k, y_k)$$

How to choose λ_k ?
As $(x_k, y_k) \rightarrow$ extreme pt., $\nabla P \rightarrow (0, 0)$, so we will need λ_k to be larger...
e.g. $x_k = \lambda_0 \delta^k$ for fixed $\delta > 1$

See Table 13.1
in Textbook

Costs, $C(x_1, x_2) = 195x_1 + 225x_2 + 400000$

Revenue, $R(x_1, x_2) = (339 - 0.01x_1 - 0.003x_2)x_1 + (399 - 0.004x_1 - 0.01x_2)x_2$

Maximize $P(x_1, x_2) = R(x_1, x_2) - C(x_1, x_2)$ over $x_1 \geq 0, x_2 \geq 0$

Sensitivity? e.g. "Price elasticity" of 40" TV

set $a = 0.01$

price of 40" TV = $339 - ax_1 - 0.003x_2$

Costs, $C(x_1, x_2) = 195x_1 + 225x_2 + 400000$

Revenue, $R_a(x_1, x_2) = \underline{(339 - 0.01x_1 - 0.003x_2)x_1} + (399 - 0.004x_1 - 0.01x_2)x_2$

Maximize $P_a(x_1, x_2) = R_a(x_1, x_2) - C(x_1, x_2)$ over $x_1 \geq 0, x_2 \geq 0$

Sensitivity? e.g. "Price elasticity" of 40" TV

set $a = 0.01$

price of 40" TV = $339 - ax_1 - 0.003x_2$

Setting $\nabla P_a = \vec{0}$ gives $x_1 = \frac{1662000}{400000a - 49}$, $x_2 = 8700 - \frac{581700}{4000000a - 49}$

At $a = 0.01$, Sensitivity, $S(x_1, a) = \left(\frac{dx_1}{da}\right) \left(\frac{a}{x_1}\right) = \dots = -\frac{400}{351} \approx -1.1$

$S(x_2, a) = \left(\frac{dx_2}{da}\right) \left(\frac{a}{x_2}\right) = \dots = \frac{9695}{35123} \approx 0.27$

e.g. 10% increase in price elasticity of 40" TVs means we should make $\sim 11\%$ fewer 40" TVs and 2.7% more 50" TVs.

Costs, $C(x_1, x_2) = 195x_1 + 225x_2 + 400000$

Revenue, $R_a(x_1, x_2) = \underline{(339 - 0.01x_1 - 0.003x_2)x_1} + \underline{(399 - 0.004x_1 - 0.01x_2)x_2}$

Maximize $P_a(x_1, x_2) = R_a(x_1, x_2) - C(x_1, x_2)$ over $x_1 \geq 0, x_2 \geq 0$

Sensitivity of Profit to price elasticity of 40" TVs

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dP_a}{da} &= \frac{\partial P_a}{\partial x_1} \frac{\partial x_1}{\partial a} + \frac{\partial P_a}{\partial x_2} \frac{\partial x_2}{\partial a} + \frac{\partial P_a}{\partial a} \\ &= 0 + 0 + -x_1^2 = -x_1^2 \quad \text{at } a=0.01, \text{ since } \nabla P_a = 0\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{So, sensitivity } S(P_a, a) &= \left(\frac{dP_a}{da}\right) \left(\frac{a}{P_a}\right) \text{ at } a=0.01 \\ &\approx -(4735)^2 \frac{0.01}{553641} \approx -0.40\end{aligned}$$

A 10% increase in price elasticity of 40" TVs will cause about 4% drop in profits.