## Fall Coloring of Graphs

Hemanshu Kaul

#### Illinois Institute of Technology

www.math.iit.edu/~kaul

kaul@iit.edu

Joint work with Christodoulos Mitillos (IIT)



Given a graph G, partition V(G) into maximal independent sets,



Given a graph G, partition V(G) into maximal independent sets,

or equivalently, partition V(G) into independent dominating sets (sets that are both dominating and independent).



Given a graph G, partition V(G) into maximal independent sets,

or equivalently, partition V(G) into independent dominating sets (sets that are both dominating and independent).

or equivalently, partition V(G) into color classes such that each vertex has a neighbor of every color (except its own).

Such a partition using *k* colors is called a Fall k-coloring of *G*.

or equivalently, partition V(G) into color classes such that each vertex has a neighbor of every color (except its own).

Such a partition using k colors is called a Fall k-coloring of G.

Observe that, if *G* is Fall k-colorable than  $\chi(G) \le k \le \delta(G) + 1$ .

Sharp for  $G = C_{6k}$ .



Figure: 2- and 3-fall-colouring of C<sub>6</sub>



Figure: C<sub>5</sub> cannot be fall-coloured

Fall(G) is the set of all k such that G has a Fall k-coloring.

- $Fall(C_n) \subseteq \{2,3\}$ , and  $2 \in Fall(C_n)$  iff  $2|n, 3 \in Fall(C_n)$  iff 3|n.
- $Fall(K_n) = \{n\}$
- Complete *k*-partite graphs have only Fall *k*-colorings.
- *k*-Trees have Fall (k + 1)-colorings.
- If *G* is  $K_{m,m}$  perfect matching then  $Fall(G) = \{2, m\}$ [Cockayne, Hedetneimi, 1976].
- Fall(Petersen) is empty.

Introduced in this form by Dunbar, Hedetniemi, Hedetniemi, Jacobs, Knisely, Laskar and Rall (2000).

Related versions of the problem were studied by Berge (1960s), Cockayne, Hedetneimi (1976), Payan (1974), Erdős, Hobbs, Payan (1982), and others. And now again since 2000.

### Fall 2-colorings

#### Does $2 \in Fall(G)$ ?

or, even more simply, Does *G* have two disjoint maximal independent sets?

## Fall 2-colorings

#### Does $2 \in Fall(G)$ ?

or, even more simply, Does *G* have two disjoint maximal independent sets?

• Berge and, independently, Payan(1974) conjectured that every regular graph has two disjoint maximal independent sets.

Disproved by Payan (1977)

- Erdős, Hobbs, Payan (1982) improved results of Cockayne, Hedetneimi (1976) and others to show dense graphs  $(\delta(G) > n - O(\sqrt{n}))$  have this property.
- Henning, Lowenstein, Rautenbach (2009) showed the decision problem is NP-complete, even when restricted to graphs of max degree 4 [Raczek, Janczewski, Malafiejska, 2011]
- On the easier side, 2-chromatic graphs without isolated vertices are always Fall 2-colorable.

Given G, what is Fall(G)?

This is hard to answer since Fall(G) need not be an interval of numbers, can be empty, and may not relate to Fall sets of subgraphs.

Can we construct a family of graphs whose Fall set equals an arbitrary collection of integers?

Dunbar et al., 2000 Let  $S = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_r\}$  be given, with  $s_i \neq 1, \forall i$ . Let  $G = K_{s_1} \times K_{s_2} \times \dots \times K_{s_r}$ . Then  $S \subseteq Fall(G)$ .

Each (r - 1)-dimensional cardinal hyperplane is an independent dominating set.

#### Kaul, Mitillos 2014+

Let  $S = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k\}$ , be a multiset with  $s_i \neq 1$ ,  $\forall i$ . Let  $G = \Box_{s \in S} K_s$ . A subset of V(G) is an independent dominating set iff it corresponds to  $s_i$  vertices which share the same coordinates, except on the *i*th position.

#### Kaul, Mitillos 2014+

Let |S| = 2. Then the two previous constructions are identical. Moreover, Fall(G) = S.

#### Kaul, Mitillos 2014+

Let |S| = 3.  $Fall(\Box_{s \in S}K_s)$  is the set of all numbers which can be expressed as sums of exactly  $s_i$  summands with values in  $S \setminus \{s_i\}$ , for each *i*.

For example, when  $S = \{2, 3, 4\}$ ,  $Fall(G) = \{6, 7, \dots, 12\}$ ; (like 6 = 3 + 3, 7 = 4 + 3, 8 = 4 + 4, 9 = 3 + 2 + 2 + 2, 10 = 3 + 3 + 2 + 2, 11 = 3 + 3 + 3 + 2, 12 = 4 + 4 + 4.)

On the other hand, when  $S = \{2, 3, 5\}$ ,  $Fall(G) = \{6, 8, \dots, 15\}$ .

This form of summation also works for |S| > 3 to determine the max and min values in *Fall*(*G*).

Dunbar et al. (2000) asked a natural question: Can the difference between  $\chi(G)$  and min Fall(G) be made arbitrarily large?

#### Kaul, Mitillos 2014+

Let  $k \ge 3$  and let t > k. Then, there exists a graph *G* with  $\chi(G) = k$  and min *Fall*(*G*) = *t*.

We modify  $\overline{K_k \Box K_t}$  by removing the edges of an appropriately chosen induced (t - 1)-star from it.

## **Unique Coloring**

Observe that If *G* is uniquely *k*-colorable, then  $k \in Fall(G)$ . Since there is a unique *k*-coloring, every vertex has a neighbor in each color class, other than its own.

Converse is not true: e.g.  $K_k \times K_k$ .

Bollobás (1978) showed that high minimum degree forces a *k*-colorable graph to be *k*-chromatic (if  $\delta(G) > \frac{k-2}{k-1}n(G)$ ) and uniquely *k*-colorable (if  $\delta(G) > \frac{3k-5}{3k-2}n(G)$ ).

Can we show something better for Fall coloring?

## **Unique Coloring**

#### Kaul, Mitillos 2014+

Let *G* be a *k*-colorable graph on *n* vertices, for  $2 \le k \le n$ . If  $\delta(G) > \frac{k-2}{k-1}n$ , then every *k*-coloring of *G* is also a Fall *k*-coloring.

This is sharp.

# **Unique Coloring**

#### Kaul, Mitillos 2014+

Let *G* be a *k*-colorable,  $\frac{k-2}{k-1}n$ -regular graph, for  $2 \le k \le n$ . Then every *k*-coloring of *G* is either a Fall *k*-coloring or can be converted to a (k - 1)-fall-coloring, by merging two color classes. Furthermore, there always exists some graph as described

above, which is (k - 1)-fall-colorable.

The graph which shows the sharpness of the previous result is none other than the Turán Graph, T(n, (k - 1)).

## **Graph Products**

Cartesian product of graphs is well-behaved under Fall coloring.

Kaul, Mitillos 2014+ Let  $k \in Fall(G)$  and let H be a k-colorable graph. Then  $G \Box H$  is k-fall-colorable.

Usual coloring works.

We have that  $Fall(G \square H) \supseteq (Fall(G) \cup Fall(H)) \setminus [\chi(G \square H) - 1]$ . Regarding equality, consider that  $C_5 \square C_5$  is 5-fall-colorable, even though  $C_5$  is not.

### **Graph Products**

Similarly, for categorical product of graphs:

#### Kaul, Mitillos 2014+

Let  $k \in Fall(G)$  and let *H* have no trivial components. Then  $k \in Fall(G \times H)$ .

The above tells us that  $Fall(G) \cup Fall(H) \subseteq Fall(G \times H)$ .

A graph G is said to be a threshold graph iff it can be constructed iteratively by adding a new vertex, at each step, whose neighborhood is determined by its type:

- Type 0 vertices are not adjacent to any previously added vertices.
- Type 1 vertices are adjacent to all previously added vertices.

Thus, a threshold graph on *n* vertices, can be uniquely identified by a binary string of length *n*, starting with a 0.

#### Kaul, Mitillos 2014+

A threshold graph *G* is fall-colorable iff it can be described by a bit string of the form  $0^+1^*$ . Moreover, if *G* is a threshold graph described by  $0^+1^{k-1}$ . Then,  $Fall(G) = \{k\}$ 

 $Fall(G) = \{k\}.$ 

A graph is a split graph if its vertices can be partitioned into a clique and an independent set.

Note that the threshold graphs are a subfamily of split graphs.

#### Kaul, Mitillos 2014+

Let G be a Split Graph, with independent set I and clique K, so that K is maximal.

G is fall-colorable iff each vertex in I has exactly one non-neighbor in K.

Moreover, in this situation, *G* is only *k*-fall-colorable, where  $k = \delta(G) + 1 = |K|$ .

Note that in both these families, threshold graphs and split graphs,

 $Fall(G) = \emptyset, \text{ or}$  $Fall(G) = \{\omega(G)\} = \{\chi(G)\} = \{\delta(G) + 1\}.$ 

We conjecture that this is true for all perfect graphs.

Lyle, Drake, Laskar (2005) have shown this is true for strongly chordal graphs.