

Solutions to Assignment #2.

1.2.10 and **1.2.11**

1.2.10a Proof: Let G be a bipartite graph with partite sets X, Y . Any trail alternates between X and Y , so a closed trail must have an even number of edges. An Eulerian circuit is a closed trail that uses every edge of G exactly once, so if an Eulerian circuit exists, then $|E(G)|$ must be even.

1.2.10b Counterexample: $C_3 \cup C_4$, where the cycles intersect at a single vertex.

1.2.11 Counterexample: The union of two cycles at a single vertex v , where e and f are in the first cycle, such that both are incident to v .

1.2.15 Starting at the first endpoint u of W , follow the sequence W . As long as no vertex is repeated, the vertices and edges of the walk form a path. Since W is closed and $\ell(W) > 0$, eventually some vertex must repeat. Consider the first repeated vertex, z .

Proof ending #1: There is a z, z -subwalk of length at least 1; apply induction to it.

Proof ending #2: Preceding z on W is a path P from u to some vertex v , followed by an edge e with endpoints v and z . If e is not in P , then the z, v -portion of P and e form a cycle. Therefore e is in P , and since P only has one edge incident to v , e is that edge. Since e is the last edge of P and the first edge in W after P , e is repeated immediately in W .

Alternative Proof: Consider a maximal path $P = v_0, \dots, v_k$ in W . There are no loops (since they are cycles) and there are edges (since $\ell(W) > 0$), so $\ell(P) = k \geq 1$. Since there are no cycles, each endpoint of P has degree 1 in the graph. If v_k is not the last vertex in W , then the edge before v_k , $v_{k-1}v_k$, is immediately repeated after v_k . If v_0 is not the first vertex in W , similarly v_0v_1 is repeated before and after v_0 . Otherwise $P = W$, which is a contradiction since W is closed and $\ell(P) \neq 0$.

1.2.22 Suppose that G is connected, and let S, T be a partition of $V(G)$ with $S, T \neq \emptyset$. Then there is a path P from a vertex of S to a vertex of T . Since P begins in S but ends in T , there is some vertex v that is its first vertex in T . The vertex before v must be in S , and P contains an edge between these vertices.

For the other direction, suppose G is not connected. Let H be a component of G . Then $V(H)$ and $V(G) - V(H)$ form a partition of $V(G)$ into two non-empty sets, and there is no edge between them.

Alternatively, for the other direction: Assume that for any partition of $V(G)$ into nonempty sets, there exists an edge with one endpoint in each set. Let u, v be vertices in G . (We must show that G contains a u, v -path.) Let S be the set of all vertices x for which G contains a u, x -path. If $S = V(G)$ then we're done, so we may assume that $\bar{S} = V(G) \setminus S$ is nonempty. Also, $x \in S$ so S is nonempty.

Now S, \bar{S} partitions $V(G)$ into two nonempty sets, so there must be an edge e with endpoint a in S and b in \bar{S} . Since $a \in S$, G contains a u, a -path P . Then P, e, b is a u, b -walk, and (by an early lemma) this walk contains a u, b -path. But then b is in S , contradicting $b \in \bar{S} = V(G) \setminus S$.

1.2.23 Let G be a connected simple graph that is not a complete graph. Then $n(G)$ is at least 3.

a. Proof: Pick a vertex x , and let S be the set of vertices adjacent to x , and let $T = V(G) \setminus (S \cup \{x\})$. Since G is connected and $n(G) \geq 3$, S is nonempty. If S contains a pair of nonadjacent vertices, then with x they induce a copy of P_3 ; thus we may assume that S is a clique. If there is an edge uv with $u \in S$ and $v \in T$, then $G[x, u, v] \cong P_3$; thus we may assume that there are no edges between S and T . If $S = V(G) \setminus \{x\}$ then G would be a complete graph, so T must not be empty. But there is no edge from T to S or to x , so G is not connected, a contradiction.

Alternative proof: For any pair of vertices u, v in any graph G , a minimum-length u, v -path P is an induced path, because any edge of $E(G[V(P)]) - E(P)$ can be used to get a shorter u, v -path. (This also works if P is a u, v -path such that $V(P)$ is minimal.) Also, every 3-vertex subpath of P is an induced path.

Thus, for an arbitrary vertex x , if there exists a vertex v that is not adjacent to x , then a shortest x, v -path has length at least 3, and its first three vertices induce a copy of P_3 that contains x . So we may

assume that $N(x) = V(G) - \{x\}$. Since G is not complete, there exist two vertices $u, v \in N(x)$ that are not neighbors. Then u, x, v is an induced path in G .

b. Counterexample: Let G be the union of C_3 and P_2 with one shared vertex, x . C_3 has one edge e that is not incident to x . There are four vertex subsets of size 3, and none of them induce a copy of P_3 that contains e .

1.2.26 First, let G be a bipartite graph with bipartition X, Y , and let H be a subgraph of G . Then $V(H) \cap X$ and $V(H) \cap Y$ are independent sets that partition $V(H)$, and one must have size at least $|V(H)|/2$.

Now suppose that G is a graph and that every subgraph H of G has an independent set of size at least $|V(H)|/2$. We prove that G is bipartite by contradiction. If G is not bipartite, then G contains an odd cycle, C_k . Any $S \subset V(C)$ with at least $|V(C)|/2$ vertices must actually contain more than half of $V(C)$, since k is odd. Then S must contain two consecutive vertices along the cycle, which are adjacent. Contradiction.

1.2.37 Suppose that u is connected to v and that v is connected to w . That is, G contains a u, v -path P and a v, w -path Q . P intersects Q eventually at v , so we can let z be the *first* vertex along P that is also in Q . Let P' be the u, z -portion of P and let Q' be the z, w -portion of Q . P' doesn't intersect Q except at z , so P' concatenated with Q' has no repeated vertices so it is a path. This u, w -path shows how u is connected to w .