This copyrighted material is taken from <u>Introduction to Graph</u> <u>Theory</u>, 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed., by Doug West; and is not for further distribution beyond this course.

#### <u>These slides will be stored in a limited-access location on</u> an IIT server and are not for distribution or use beyond Math <u>454/553.</u>

4.2 No Loops in Chapter 4

# For all of Chapter 4, graphs have no loops. This applies to the statements and proofs of all results.

**4.2.1 Definition** Two paths from *u* to *v* are **internally disjoint** if they have no common internal vertex.



# *P*, *Q* are internally disjoint *u*,*w*-paths *P*,*wv*,*v* and *R* are **not** internally disjoint *u*,*v*-paths *Q*,*wv*,*v R* are **not** internally disjoint *u*,*v*-paths

#### **Proof:**

(<= Sufficiency) Let  $S = \{w\} \subseteq V(G)$ . Let  $u, v \in G - S$ .

Let *P*,*Q* be internally disjoint *u*,*v*-paths in *G*:



*w* can be on at most one of these paths, so removing *w* fails to disconnect *u* and *v*.

(=> Necessity)

```
Assume G is 2-connected. Let u, v \in V(G).
```

Induction on d(u,v):

Base case d(u,v)=1

Since d(u,v)=1, there is an edge uv in G.

Since  $\kappa'(G) \ge \kappa(G)$  and  $\kappa(G) \ge 2$ ,  $\kappa'(G) \ge 2$  is forced.

Therefore *G*–*uv* is connected.

The two internally disjoint *u*,*v*-paths required are:

(1) *u*, *uv*, *v* and
(2) A *u*,*v*-path in *G*-*uv*.

#### <u>Induction step</u> d(u,v) > 1

Let *w* be the vertex adjacent to *v* on some shortest *u*,*v*-path.



<u>Induction step</u> d(u,v) > 1

Let *w* be the vertex adjacent to *v* on some shortest *u*,*v*-path.

Since d(u,w)=d(u,v)-1, by induction there exist internally disjoint u,w-paths P and Q.



*G*–*w* is connected since  $\kappa(G)$ =2.

Thus there is a *u*,*v*-path in *G*–*w*; call it *R*.



#### 4.2 A characterization for 2-connectedness



## 4.2 Expansion Lemma

**4.2.3 Lemma.** (Expansion Lemma) If *G* is a *k*-connected graph, and *G*' is obtained from G by adding a new vertex *y* with at least *k* neighbors in *G*, then *G*' is *k*-connected.



(a) Is a vertex cut for G'; or (b) has n(G'-S)=1.

If (b) is true, then  $|S \cap V(G)| \ge k$ ; therefore  $|S| \ge k+1$ . (We only have to worry about size *k* vertex cuts of *G*'.)

## 4.2 Expansion Lemma

**4.2.3 Lemma.** (Expansion Lemma) If *G* is a *k*-connected graph, and *G*' is obtained from G by adding a new vertex *y* with at least *k* neighbors in *G*, then *G*' is *k*-connected.



Let S be a vertex set that is a vertex cut for G'.

<u>Case 1</u> S contains y.

Then S–{*y*} is a vertex cut for G with  $|S-\{y\}| \ge k$ , so  $|S| \ge k+1$ .

<u>Case 2</u> S does not contain y, but contains N(y). Then  $|S| \ge k$ .

#### (continued next slide)

# 4.2 Expansion Lemma

**4.2.3 Lemma.** (Expansion Lemma) If *G* is a *k*-connected graph, and *G*' is obtained from G by adding a new vertex *y* with at least *k* neighbors in *G*, then *G*' is *k*-connected.



Let S be a vertex set that is a vertex cut for G'.

<u>Case 3</u> S does not contain y and contains at most part of N(y)

Let T = N(y) - S and note that 0 < |T|.

Then y is in the same component of G'–S as T, and S must be a vertex cut for G.

Therefore  $|S| \ge k$ .

Always a vertex cut of G' has size  $\geq k$ , so G' is k-connected.  $\Box$